## **DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY**

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

the specification of which (check one)

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

## SELF-ALIGNING HANDHELD TAPPING TOOL

$\sqrt{\ }$ is attached hereto.	<ul><li>□ was filed on</li><li>□ as Application Serial No</li><li>□ and was amended on</li><li>(if applicable)</li></ul>				
specification, including the cl and that I believe the named i which is claimed and for whi information which is material Title 37 of the Code of Feder	aims, as amended to a control of the	oy any amendme original and first ht, and hereby a accordance with	ent spec invento icknowl § 1.56	or(s) of the subject matter ledge the duty to disclose (reprinted on the back) of	
I also hereby state tha countries foreign to the Unite	t no patent applicati ed States of America	ons on this inver a, except as follo	ntion ha ows:	ive previously been filed in	
COUNTRY APPLICATION NUMBER		DATE FILED PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER (day, mon th, year) 35 U.S.C. 119			
				·	
I hereby claim the beau application(s) listed below and is not disclosed in the prior U of Title 35, United States Couthe patentability of this application:	d, insofar as the sub nited States applica de, §112, I acknow cation as defined in ∃	ject matter of eac Ition in the mann Iledge the duty to Fitle 37, Code of	ch of th er provi o disclo Federal	ided by the first paragraph use information material to I Regulations, §1.56 which	
Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(5	Status:	patented, pending, abandoned	

I hereby appoint Jeffrey L. Clark (Reg. 29,141), Jeffrey N. Fairchild (Reg. 37,825), Stephen D. Geimer (Reg. 28,846), Allen H. Hoover (Reg. 24,103), Martin L. Katz (Reg. 25,011), John J. King (Reg. 35,918), F. William McLaughlin (Reg. 32,273), Dean A. Monco (Reg. 30,091), John S. Mortimer (Reg. 30,407), Lisa V. Mueller (Reg. 38,978), Paul M. Odell (Reg. 28,332), Richard S. Phillips (Reg. No. 17,314), and Joel E. Siegel (Reg. 25,440), each registered to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office and practicing as the firm of WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER, 500 WEST MADISON STREET, SUITE 3800, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60661 (Telephone 312-876-1800), my attorneys with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application, to make alterations or amendments therein, to receive the patent and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith, and direct that all correspondence be addressed the firm. All telephone inquiries may be directed to:

F. William McLaughlin	
11 11 Main Mag 1 Min 1	

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(1)	Full Name of sole first Joint Inventor: <u>Tansil Phillips</u>	Citizenship:USA			
	Inventor's Signature: Ansil J. Phillips	Date: <u>08-02-200</u> 3			
	Residence: Halls, Tennessee				
	Post Office Address: Route . Box 101A. Halls, Tennesse	e 38040			

## §1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best (a) served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any exists claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart

application, and

- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
    - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
      - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph(b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.